Agriculture and Forestry Technical Work Group

Draft Policy Option: A2. Biomass Feedstocks for Electricity or Steam Production

1. Policy Description:

- a. Lay description of proposed policy action: *Displace fossil fuel usage through the use of agricultural waste* (e.g., pecan waste, other crop residue) as a feedstock for electricity or steam production.
- b. Policy Design Parameters:
 - *i.* Implementation level(s) beyond BAU: *Program goal of using X tons of each crop residue as feedstock.*
 - ii. Timing of implementation: Tons of waste used as feedstock from 2006-2020, including tons of waste used in 2010 and 2020 and any necessary ramp up period. Tons of waste used as feedstock in 2050.
 - iii. Implementing parties:
 - iv. Other
- c. Implementation Mechanism(s): Indicate which mechanisms are to be used, and describe the specific approach that is proposed
 - i. Information and education
 - ii. Technical assistance
 - iii. Funding mechanisms and or incentives
 - iv. Voluntary and or negotiated agreements
 - v. Codes and standards
 - vi. Market based mechanisms
 - vii. Pilots and demos
 - viii. Research and development
 - ix. Reporting
 - x. Registry
 - xi. Other?

2. <u>BAU Policies/Programs</u>, if applicable:

- a. Description of policy/program #1
- b. Etc.

3. Types(s) of GHG Benefit(s):

- a. CO2: Savings occur as a result of displacing fossil fuel use in the production of electricity or steam.
- b. CH4: Not applicable
- c. N2O: Not applicable
- d. HFC's, SFC's: Not applicable
- e. Black Carbon: Not applicable

4. Types of Ancillary Benefits and or Costs, if applicable:

- a. Increased costs associated with collecting and transporting biomass.
- b. Increased emissions associated with collection and transport
- c. Decrease in emissions in some cases e.g. situations where open burning of residue is replaced by controlled combustion.
- d. Etc.

5. Estimated GHG Savings and Costs Per MMTCO₂e:

- a. Summary Table of:
 - i. GHG potential in 2012, 2020, 2050
 - ii. Net Cost per MMTCO₂e in 2012, 2020, 2050
- b. Insert Excel Worksheet showing summary GHG reduction potential and net cost

6. Data Sources, Methods and Assumptions:

- a. Data Sources
- b. Quantification Methods
- c. Key Assumptions

7. Key Uncertainties if applicable:

- a. Benefits
- b. Costs

8. Description of Ancillary Benefits and Costs, if applicable:

- a. Description of issue #1
- b. Description issue #2
- c. Etc.

9. Description of Feasibility Issues, if applicable:

- a. Description of issue #1
- b. Description of issue #2
- c. Etc.

10. Status of Group Approval:

- a. Pending
- b. Completed

11. <u>Level of Group Support:</u>

- a. Unanimous Consent
- b. Supermajority
- c. Majority
- d. Minority

12. <u>Barriers to consensus</u>, if applicable (less than unanimous consent):

- a. Description of barrier #1
- b. Description of barrier #2
- c. Etc.